

American Journal of Essential Oils and Natural Products

Available online at www.essencejournal.com

American Journal of Essential Oils and Natural Products

J

Е

0

N

р

ISSN: 2321 9114 AJEONP 2016; 4(1): 01-06 © 2016 AkiNik Publications Received: 15-11-2015 Accepted: 18-12-2015

Emmanuel E Essien Department of Chemistry, University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.

Jennifer M Newby

Department of Chemistry, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL 35899, USA.

Tameka M Walker

Department of Chemistry, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL 35899, USA.

Isiaka A Ogunwande,

Department of Chemistry, Lagos State University, Badagry Expressway, Lagos State, Nigeria.

William N Setzer

Department of Chemistry, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL 35899, USA.

Olusegun Ekundayo

Department of Chemistry, University of Ibadan Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

Correspondence: Emmanuel E Essien Department of Chemistry, University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.

Essential oil constituents, anticancer and antimicrobial activity of *Ficus mucoso* and *Casuarina equisetifolia* leaves

Emmanuel E Essien, Jennifer M Newby, Tameka M Walker, Isiaka A Ogunwande, William N Setzer, Olusegun Ekundayo

Abstract

Essential oils were obtained by hydrodistillation of *Ficus mucoso* Welw. and *Casuarina equisetifolia* L. leaves and analyzed for their constituents by means of gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). The major volatile components of *F. mucoso* leaves were α -phellandrene (13.0%), *p*-cymene (11.3%), germacrene D (10.5%), β -caryophyllene (9.7%), 1,8-cineole (9.5%) and α -copaene (8.7%). High levels of α -phellandrene (40.6%), *p*-cymene (15.7%), 1,8-cineole (14.1%) and terpinolene (8.4%) characterized *C. equisetifolia* oil. *Ficus mucoso* oil demonstrated strong inhibitory activity against Hs578T human breast tumor cells (98.18%) relative to PC-3 human prostate carcinoma cells (47.17%); *F. mucoso* and *C. equisetifolia* oils also exhibited significant antimicrobial activity (39-625 µg/mL) on pathogens employed in the assays.

Keywords: Ficus mucoso, Casuarina equisetifolia, essential oils composition, cytotoxicity, antimicrobial activity

1. Introduction

Aromatic plants are frequently used in traditional medicine because of their essential oils. In the last few years, there has been an increase in the use of aromatic medicinal plants and their essential oils in scientific research and industrial applications including nutritional, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic uses ^[1–4]. At present, approximately 3000 essential oils are known, 300 of which are commercially important especially for the pharmaceutical, agronomic, food, cosmetic, and perfume industry ^[5]. Moreover, various potent biological activities including antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer are attributed to essential oils ^[6–7].

Ficus mucoso Welw. is a large tree 30-40 m high with a spreading open crown, in the rain forest, often on river banks. It belongs to the family Moraceae^[8]. The young leaves and fruits are edible and have a pleasing aroma. The plant is used in the treatment of insanity, generalized edemas and leprosy. The bark and leaf decoction is taken for diarrhea and dysmenorrhea^[9]. In several parts of the world, *Ficus* species are used in traditional medicines. *Ficus thonningii*, *F. natalensis*, *F. exasperata*, *F. leprieurii* and *F. asperifolia* have been implicated for use in venereal diseases, urinary tract infections, viral infections and as antiseptic^[10]. There is a paucity of information on the phytochemistry of *F. mucoso* and biological activity of the essential oil, however a number of researchers have reported on the leaf volatile constituents of some *Ficus* species^[11-16].

Casuarina equisetifolia L. belongs to the plant family Casuarinaceae. It is a rapid- growing tree to 25 m high, native of Australia and occurring commonly in the West African region. A number of forms exist, some with drooping, some with horizontal to erect branches ^[17]. The leaf is used in the treatment of toothache and dysentery ^[10]. The plant is a source of biologically active compounds such as catechin, ellagic acid, gallic acid, quercetin and lupeol, which are antioxidants ^[18], coumaroyl triterpenes ^[19] and d-gallocatechin (casuarina) ^[20]. The plant is also known to store tannins ^[21] and proline ^[22]. *Casuarina equisetifolia* has been reputed to demonstrate antimicrobial activity ^[23]; the seed and fruit contain essential oils ^[14].

There have been sustained increases in the incidences of breast and prostate cancer in developing countries in recent years and intensive scientific research on this subject matter is imperative. Sixty percent of currently used anticancer drugs are derived one way or the other from natural sources ^[24-25].

As a part of our phytochemical and biological studies on natural essential oils, the aim of this work was to investigate the chemical composition of the leaf essential oils of F. *mucoso* and *C. equisetifolia* and their potential antimicrobial and antiproliferative activities on target pathogens and human breast and prostate cancer cell lines, respectively. This is the first report on the biological activity of the essential oils from these plants.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Plants collection and authentication

The mature fresh leaves of *F. mucoso* and *C. equisetifolia* were collected in the month of July within the University of Ibadan, Nigeria. Plant samples were authenticated by Mr. F. Usang of the Herbarium Headquarters, Forest Research Institute of Nigeria (FRIN), Ibadan, Nigeria, where voucher specimens (FHI 407412 and FHI 107411, respectively) were deposited. The plant materials were air-dried under a laboratory shade prior to extraction.

2.2 Extraction of the volatile oils

Essential oils were obtained by hydrodistillation of the pulverized air-dried plant samples (500 g) in an all glass Clevenger-type apparatus in accordance with the British Pharmacopoeia specifications ^[26]. The distillation time was 4 h in all experiments. The oils obtained were stored under refrigeration until moment of analyses.

2.3 Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analyses (GC/MS)

The volatile oils were subjected to GC-MS analyses on an Agilent system consisting of a model 6890 Gas chromatograph, a model 5973 Mass Selective Detector (MSD) and an Agilent ChemStation Data system. The GC Column was an HP-5ms fused silica capillary coated with (5% phenyl)methylpolysiloxane (equivalent to USP phase G27) stationary phase, film thickness of 0.25 µm, a length of 30 m, and an internal diameter of 0.25 mm. The carrier gas was helium with a column head pressure of 8.28 psi and flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The inlet and MSD detector temperatures were maintained at 200 °C and 230 °C, respectively, while the MS transfer-line temperature was 280 °C. The GC oven temperature was programmed as follows: 40 °C initial temperature held for 10 min; increased at 3°/min to 200 °C; increased 2°/min to 220 °C. The samples were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ to give a 1% w/v solution and a split injection technique was used. The split ratio was 1:30. Mass spectra were recorded at 70 eV. Identification of each individual constituent of the essential oils was achieved based on their retention indices (determined with reference to a homologous series of normal alkanes), and by comparison of their mass spectral fragmentation patterns (NIST database/ ChemStation data system) [27].

2.4 Cell culture media

Human Hs578T breast ductal carcinoma cells (ATCC. No. HTB-129)^[28] were grown in 3% CO₂ environment at 37 °C in DMEM with 4500 mg glucose per liter of medium, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 10 μ g bovine insulin, 100,000 units penicillin and 100 mg streptomycin per liter of medium, and buffered with 44 mM NaHCO₃, pH 7.35. Human PC-3 prostatic carcinoma cells (ATCC No. CRL-1435)^[29] were grown in 3% CO₂ environment at 37 °C in RPMI-1640 medium with l-glutamine, supplemented with 10% fetal

bovine serum, 100,000 units penicillin and 10.0 mg streptomycin per liter of medium, and buffered with 15 mM Hepes and 23.6 mM NaHCO₃.

2.5 Cytotoxicity screening

Hs578T cells were plated into 96-well cell culture plates at 1.0×10^5 cells per well and PC-3 cells at 1.9×10^4 cells per well. The volume in each well was 100 µL for both cell types. After 48 h, supernatant fluid was removed by suction and replaced with 100 µL growth medium containing 2.5 or 1.0 µL of DMSO solution of oil (1% w/w in DMSO), giving a final concentration of 250 or 100 µg/mL respectively for each oil. Hs 578T cells were tested at final concentration at 250 µg/mL and PC-3 cells at final concentration of 100 µg/mL. Solutions were added to wells in four replicates. Medium controls and DMSO controls (25 and 10 µL DMSO/mL) were used. Tingenone (250 and 100 µg/mL) was used as a positive control ^[30]. After the addition of compounds, plates were incubated for 48 h at 37 °C. The medium was then removed by suction and 100 µL of fresh medium was added to each well. In order to establish percent kill rates, the cell Titer 96® AQueous Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay was performed [31]. After colorimetric readings were recorded in triplicates (using a molecular Devices SpectraMAX Plus microplate reader, 490 nm), average absorbances, standard deviations, and percent kill ratios (% kill_{oil}/% kill_{DMSO}) were calculated.

2.6 Antimicrobial screening

Essential oils were screened for antibacterial activity against the Gram-positive bacteria, Bacillus cereus (ATCC No. 14579) and Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC No 29213) and the Gram negative bacteria, Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC No 27853) and Escherichia coli (ATCC No 254922). Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined using microbroth dilution technique ^[32]. Dilutions of the oils were prepared in cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton Broth (CAMHB) beginning with 50 µL of 1% w/w solutions of essential oils in DMSO plus 50 µL CAMHB. The oil solutions were serially diluted (1:1) in CAMHB in 96-well plates. Organisms at a concentration of approximately 1.5×10^8 colony forming units (CFU)/mL were added to each well. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h; the final minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined as the lowest concentration without turbidity. Gentamicin was used as a positive control while DMSO was used as a negative control. Antifungal activity was determined as described above using Candida albicans (ATCC No. 10231) in yeast nitrogen base growth medium with approximately 7.5×10^7 CFU/mL. Antifungal activity against Aspergillus niger (ATCC No 16401) was determined as above using potato dextrose broth inoculated with A. niger hyphal culture diluted to a McFarland turbidity of 1.0. Amphotericin B was the positive control. Both C. albicans and A. niger were maintained at 32 °C and 25 °C respectively for 24 h.

3. Results & Discussion

The identified leaf volatiles of *F. mucoso* are listed in Table 1. A total of thirty five constituents were identified which represent 100% of the leaf essential oil. It was found that the terpenoid content of the leaf is high (89.6%), primarily four monoterpene hydrocarbons accounted for 29.7% while fourteen sesquiterpene hydrocarbons made up 39.9% of the volatile oil. Seven oxygenated monoterpenoids constituted 16.1% and five oxygenated sesquiterpenoids accounted for

3.9% of the leaf oil. The aromatic compounds represented by methyl salicylate, dill apiole and (3Z)-hexenyl benzoate constituted 4.1% of the oil; two aliphatic oxygenated compounds were identified in 6.4% concentration. The main constituents in this oil were α -phellandrene (13.0%), pcymene (11.3%), germacrene D (10.5%), β -caryophyllene (9.7%), 1.8-cineole (9.5%), α -copaene (8.7%) and terpinolene (4.9%). (E)-3-methyl-non-2-en-4-one and two oxygenated sesquiterpenoids, spathulenol and carotol, were detected as components of F. mucoso leaf essential oil. Previous studies on Nigerian grown Ficus species have revealed the abundance of 1,8-cineole (13.8%), (E)-phytol (13.7%) and p-cymene (11.4%) in Ficus exasperata ^[11]; acorenone (20.7%) and phytol (16.2%) in Ficus lutea, with Ficus polita consisting mainly of phytol (23.3%) and 6,10,14-trimethyl-2pentadecanone (15.0%), and Ficus thonningii rich in 6,10,14trimethyl-2-pentadecanone (18.8%) and phytol (14.7%) ^[12]; β carvophyllene (37.0%), ethyl octanoate (14.9%) and methyl octanoate (8.3%) in Ficus mucosa [13]; 6,10,14-trimethyl-2pentadecanone (25.9%), geranyl acetone (9.9%), heneicosane (8.4%) and 1,8-cineole (8.2%) in F. elastica ^[14]; α -cadinol (25.1%), germacrene-D-4-ol (14.9%), γ-cadinene (11.8%) and α-muurolene (9.6%) in F. benghalensis ^[15]. Both 6,10,14trimethyl-2-pentadecanone and phytol have been described as marker components of the oils of Nigerian grown Ficus species ^[12].

Some major constituents of previous studies on the essential oil of *Ficus* plants from Nigeria such as acorenone B, (*E*)phytol, 6,10,14-trimethyl-2-pentadecanone, hexadecanoic acid, ethyl octanoate, tricosane, hexacosane and cyclotetradecane were not identified in the *F. mucoso* leaf oil. Adebayo *et al.* ^[15] also reported similar variation of *F. benghalensis* oil composition from previous published data. This observed variation in compositional profile may be attributed to chemotype as well as climatic and ecological conditions in the place of collection.

Chemical analyses of C. equisetifolia leaf essential oil revealed the occurrence of twenty five components accounting for 100% of the oil. Identified compounds characterized in the oil and their percentage concentrations are shown in Table 2. The oil was comprised of monoterpenoids, C13-norterpenoids, sesquiterpenoids, aromatic and simple aliphatic compounds. Moderate amounts of alcohols (17.9%), ketones (3.4%), aldehydes (1.3%), aromatic esters and ether (4.4%) were identified in the oil. The monocyclic compounds of the pcymene group and bicyclic compounds of the camphene group were present in the monoterpenoid class. In the p-cymene group, α -phellandrene, *p*-cymene, terpinolene and the oxides, 1,8-cineole and α -terpineol were representative compounds while in the camphene group, α -pinene and β -pinene were detected. The C_{13} -norterpenoids identified were (E)- β damascenone, geranyl acetone and (E)- β -ionone. It was found that the terpenoid content of the leaf oil was high (94.7%). Five monoterpene hydrocarbons (69.2%) made up the bulk of the oil with their oxygenated derivatives (18.4%). Six sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (3.8%), three oxygenated sesquiterpenes (3.3%), four aromatic compounds (4.4%) and one oxygenated aliphatic compound (0.9%) were also classified in the oil. The aromatic ether and esters detected

were methyl salicylate, (3*Z*)-hexenyl benzoate, hexyl benzoate and dill apiole, which occurred as minor components of the leaf oil. The quantitatively significant compounds were α phellandrene (40.6%), *p*-cymene (15.7%), 1,8-cineole (14.1%) and terpinolene (8.4%). Ogunwande *et al.* ^[14] reported that the major compounds in *C. equisetifolia* leaf essential oil were pentadecanal (32.0%) and 1,8-cineole (13.1%); significant quantities of α -phellandrene (7.0%), apiole (7.2%) and α terpinene (6.9%) were present. The main constituents of the fruit were caryophyllene-oxide (11.7%), *trans*-linalool oxide (11.5%), 1,8-cineole (9.7%), α -terpineol (8.8%) and α -pinene (8.5%).

In vitro cytotoxic assays carried out in order to evaluate the biological potentials of the investigated oils revealed potent inhibitory effects of F. mucoso leaf essential oil against Hs578T cells (98.18% kill at 250 µg/mL), however no significant effect on PC-3 cells (Table 3). Casuarina equisetifolia oil showed no cytotoxic activity on either of the cell lines. It is well known that the biological activities of an essential oil may depend on the main constituents or a synergy between the major and some minor compounds [33]. The chemical profiles of both leaf oils are qualitatively and quantitatively distinct (Table 1 and 2). Major sesquiterpenes constituents in F. mucoso oil, such as α -copaene, β caryophyllene and germacrene D, were either not detected or found in trace amount in C. equisetifolia oil, have shown cytotoxic activity in previous studies [33-35]; however, aphellandrene, p-cymene, 1,8-cineole and terpinolene were common to both oils. Hence, the compositional pattern of F. mucoso leaf oil may have influenced such notable antiproliferative effect against the Hs578T cell line. α-Copaene, a tricyclic sesquiterpene, exhibited cytotoxic effects on MCF-7 breast carcinoma^[33] and N2a-NB neuroblastoma^[36] cell lines: β -caryophyllene has been described as a potent cytotoxic compound over a wide range of cell lines ^[33-37]; Salvador *et al.* ^[38] attributed the potent cytotoxic activity of Casearia lasiophylla leaf essential oil against several cell lines to a high content of germacrene D and β -caryophyllene; and germacrene D has shown cytotoxic activity to both Hs578T and PC-3 cell lines ^[34]. Potent cytotoxic activities were considered at $\geq 90\%$ lethality at tested concentrations of 250 and 100 ppm for both breast and prostate carcinoma cells, respectively, in this report. The antibacterial and antifungal activities of the leaf volatile oils of F. mucoso and C. equisetifolia demonstrated broad spectrum antimicrobial activity (Table 4). Casuarina equisetifolia oil exhibited stronger antibacterial effect on B. cereus, S. aureus (39 µg/mL) and E. coli (312 µg/mL) compared with F. mucoso oil. Candida albicans was more sensitive to C. equisetifolia oil while A. niger showed more susceptibility to F. mucoso volatile oil. It has been documented that Gram-positive bacteria are more sensitive to chemical compounds than Gram-negative bacteria due to the relative thickness of their cell walls ^[39]. This is obvious by the sensitivity of the Gram-positive bacteria to the tested essential oils in the assay. It should also be noted, however, that prominent constituents common to both oils such as aphellandrene, p-cymene, 1,8-cineole and terpinolene, along with other constituents have exhibited antimicrobial activity [40-43]

3.1 Tables

RI ^a RI ^b		Compound ^{c,d}	Area (%)	QI ^e (%)
870	875	(3Z)-Hexenol	3.4	91
940	939	α-Pinene	0.5	91
1004	1005	α-Phellandrene	13.0	94
1024	1026	<i>p</i> -Cymene	11.3	97
1031	1033	1,8-Cineole 9.5		98
1087	1088	Terpinolene 4.9		96
1102	1098	Linalool	2.1	93
1190	1189	α-Terpineol	0.6	92
1194	1190	Methyl salicylate		
1199	1198	Safranal 0.7		95
1217		(E)-3-Methyl-non-2-en-4-one	3.0	98
1218	1218	β-Cyclocitral	1.0	96
1349	1368	α-Cubebene	0.6	98
1376	1376	α-Copaene	8.7	99
1390	1390	β-Cubebene 0.4		91
1392	1391	β-Elemene	0.3	98
1408	1409	α-Gurjunene	0.2	93
1419	1418	β-Caryophyllene	9.7	99
1428	1426	α-Ionone	0.6	98
1452	1454	α-Humulene	3.5	99
1459	1461	Alloaromadendrene	ne 2.0	
1477	1477	γ-Muurolene	0.2	91
1482	1480	Germacrene D	10.5	96
1486	1485	β-Ionone	1.6	96
1495	1494	Bicyclogermacrene 0.5		93
1500	1499	α-Muurolene	α-Muurolene 1.3	
1510	1508	(<i>E</i> , <i>E</i>)-α-Farnesene	0.2	87
1523	1524	δ-Cadinene	1.8	99
1564	1564	(E)-Nerolidol	0.5	94
1572	1570	(3Z)-Hexenyl benzoate	1.3	98
1580	1576	Spathulenol		
1593	1594	Carotol	0.9	96
1625	1622	Dill Apiole	1.6	96
1640	1640	τ-Cadinol	0.3	90
1653	1653	α-Cadinol	0.5	95

Table 1: Chemical constituents of Ficus mucoso leaf essential oil

^aRI, calculated retention indices; ^bRI, retention index from literature; ^cOrder of elution on HP-5ms capillary column; ^dIdentification by comparison of the mass spectral and retention index data; ^eQI, 'quality index', reflects the fit comparison of experimental mass spectrum and NIST library mass spectrum.

RI ^a RI ^b		Compound ^{c,d}	Area (%)	QI ^e (%)	
855	854	(2E)-Hexenal	0.9	95	
940	939	α-Pinene	3.7	95	
977	980	β-Pinene	0.8	91	
1003	1005	α-Phellandrene	40.6	93	
1023	1026	<i>p</i> -Cymene	15.7	97	
1031	1033	1,8-Cineole	14.1	99	
1087	1088	Terpinolene	8.4	98	
1190	1189	α-Terpineol	0.5	94	
1194	1190	Methyl salicylate	0.5	96	
1218	1218	β-Cyclocitral	0.4	94	
1385	1380	(E)-β-Damascenone	0.4	78	
1392	1391	β-Elemene	1.0	96	
1418	1418	β-Caryophyllene	0.8	99	
1455	1453	Geranyl acetone	0.5	91	
1460	1459	Aromadendrene	0.8	90	
1480	1480	Germacrene D	0.6	93	
1486	1485	(E)-β-Ionone	2.5	96	
1510	1508	(E,E) - α -Farnesene	0.3	96	
1541	1542	α-Calacorene	0.3	93	
1564	1564	(E)-Nerolidol	1.4	99	
1570	1570	(3Z)-Hexenyl benzoate	1.1	92	
1577	1576	Hexyl benzoate	0.8	78	
1593	1594	Carotol	1.5	89	
1626	1622	Dill apiole	2.0	96	
1723	1722	(E,E)-Farnesol	0.4	94	

Table 2: Chemical constituents of Casuarina equisetifolia leaf essential oil

^aRI, calculated retention indices; ^bRI, retention index from literature; ^cOrder of elution on HP-5ms capillary column; ^dIdentification by comparison of the mass spectral and retention index data; ^cQI, 'quality index', reflects the fit comparison of experimental mass spectrum and NIST library mass spectrum

 Table 3: Cytotoxic activity of F. mucoso and C. equisetifolia leaf

 essential oils^a

Sample	Hs578T ^b	PC-3 ^c
F. mucoso	98.18 ± 1.17	47.17 ±1.63
C. equisetifolia	0	1.81±2.37
β-	$100.0 (IC_{50} = 78.2 \pm 8.3)$	$100 (IC_{50} = 31.3 \pm 1.1)$
Caryophyllene	μg/mL) ^[34]	μg/mL) ^[34]
Germacrene D	$100.0 (IC_{50} = 55.2 \pm 5.3)$	$100 (IC_{50} = 26.0 \pm 2.0$
Germacrene D	μg/mL) ^[34]	μg/mL) ^[34]
Tingenone	100.0	100.0

^{a%} kill at tested concentrations in triplicate (standard deviations in parentheses); negative controls, medium and DMSO had no effects in the assay; ^bHuman breast (ductual) tumor cells (% kill at 250 µg/mL); ^cHuman prostate tumor cells (% kill at 100 µg/mL). Reference compound; tingenone at concentration of 250 or 100 ppm.

Table 4: Antimicrobial activity of *F. mucoso* and *C. equisetifolia* volatile oils (MIC, μg/mL)

B.c	S.a	E.c	P.a	C.a	A.n
625	312	625	625	625	78
39	39	312	625	312	156
1.22 ^a	0.61 ^a	2.44 ^a	1.22 ^a	0.61 ^b	0.61 ^b
	625 39	625 312 39 39	625 312 625 39 39 312	625 312 625 625 39 39 312 625	625 312 625 625 625 39 39 312 625 312

B.c., Bacillus cereus (ATCC No. 14579); S.a, Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC No 29213); E.c, Escherichia coli (ATCC No. 25922); P.a, Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC No. 27853); C.a, Candida albicans (ATCC No. 10231); A.n, Aspergillus niger (ATCC No. 16401). ^aGentamicin sulphate; ^bAmphotericin B; Negative control, DMSO had zero effect in the assay.

4. Conclusions

The biological activities of *F. mucoso* and *C. equisetifolia* essential oils are a function of their distinct chemical profiles. This study indicates that *F. mucoso* leaf oil possesses strong cytotoxic effects against Hs578T carcinoma cells. Furthermore, *F. mucoso* and *C. equisetifolia* oils demonstrated significant broad spectrum *in-vitro* antimicrobial activity against medicinally important pathogens in the assay. The present findings would provide further insight on the plants therapeutic applications and enhance the exploitation of these oils for other biological purposes.

5. References

- 1. Kebede A, Hayelom M. The design and manufacturing of essential oil distillation plant for rural poverty alleviation in Ethiopia. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management. 2008; 1(1):84-91.
- Ramadan MF. Nutritional value and applications of *Nigella sativa* essential oil: a mini review. Journal of Essential Oil Research. 2015; 27(4):271-275.
- 3. Edris AE. Pharmaceutical and therapeutic potentials of essential oils and their individual volatile constituents: a review. Phytotherapy Research 2007; 21:308-323.
- Kejlová K, Jírová D, Bendová H, Gajdoš P, Kolářová H. Phototoxicity of essential oils intended for cosmetic use. Toxicology *in vitro* 2010; 24(8):2084-2089.
- Bakkali F, Averbeck S, Averbeck D, Idaomar M. Biological effects of essential oils – a review. Food Chemical Toxicology 2008; 46:446-475.
- Essien EE, Walker TM, Ogunwande IA, Bansal A, Setzer WN, Ekundayo O. Essential oil composition, cytotoxicity and antimicrobial activities of Datura metel L. from Nigeria. International Journal of Essential Oil Therapeutics. 2010; 4:69-72.
- 7. Bayala B, Bassole HN, Scifo R, Gnoula C, Morel L, Lobaccaro JA et al. Anticancer activity of essential oils

and their chemical components – a review. American Journal of Cancer Research. 2014; 4(6):591-607.

- 8. Berg CC. Annotated check-list of the *Ficus* species of the African floristic region, with special reference and key to taxa of Southern Africa. Kirkia 1990; 13:2536-2543.
- 9. Burkill HM. The Useful Plants of West Tropical Africa. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, 1997; 4(515):184-185.
- Iwu MM. Handbook of African Medicinal Plants. CRC Press, LLC, London, 1993, 12-57.
- 11. Sonibare MA, Ogunwande IA, Walker TM, Setzer WN, Soladoye MO, Essien EE. Volatile constituents of Ficus exasperata Vahl leaves. Natural Product Communications 2006; 1:763-765.
- Ogunwande IA, Sonibare MA, Thang TD, Dung NX, Soladoye MO, Morohunfolu OO. Comparative analysis of the oils of three *Ficus* species from Nigeria. Journal of Essential Oil Research. 2008; 20:387-389.
- Ogunwande IA, Saroglou V, Skaltsa E, Ogunbinu AO, Kubmawara D. Constituents of some essential oil-bearing plants from Nigeria. Journal of Essential Oil Research. 2009; 21:61-66.
- Ogunwande IA, Flamini G, Adefuye AE, Lawal NO, Moradeyo S, Avoseh NO. Chemical compositions of Casuarina equisetifolia L., Eucalyptus toreliana L. and Ficus elastica Roxb. ex Hornem cultivated in Nigeria. South African Journal of Botany 2011; 77:645-649.
- Adebayo MA, Aboaba SA, Eresanya OI, Ajetunmobi AA, Ogunwande IA. Constituents of essential oil from Ficus benghalensis L. European Journal of Medicinal Plants. 2015; 9(2):1-6.
- Poudel A, Satyal P, Setzer WN. Composition and bioactivities of the leaf essential oil of Ficus religiosa Linn. American Journal of Essential Oils and Natural Products. 2015; 2(3):16-17.
- 17. Burkill HM. The Useful Plants of West Tropical Africa. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, 1985; 1:346-635.
- Aher AN, Pal SC, Yadav SK, Patil UK, Bhattacharya S. Antioxidant activity of isolated phytoconstituents from Casuarina equisetifolia Frost (Casuarinaceae). Journal of Plant Science. 2009; 4:15-20.
- Takahashi H, Iuchi M, Fujita Y, Minami H, Fukuyama Y. Coumaroyl triterpenes from Casuarina equisetifolia. Phytochemistry 1999; 51:543-550.
- Nash RJ, Thomas PI, Waigh RD, Fleet GWJ, Wormald MR, De Lilley PMQ, Watkin DJ. Casuarine: a very highly oxygenated pyrrolizidine alkaloid. Tetrahedron Letters 1994; 35: 7849-7852.
- 21. Li-Hua Z, Gong-Fu Y, Yi-Ming L, Hai-Chao Z, Qi Z. Seasonal changes in tannin and nitrogen contents of Casuarina equisetifolia branchlets. Journal of Zhejiang University of Science. 2009; 103-111.
- 22. Tani C, Sasakawa H. Proline accumulates in Casuarina equisetifolia seedlings under salt stress. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 2003; 52:21-25.
- Parekh J, Jadeja D, Chanda S. Efficacy of aqueous and methanol extracts of some medicinal plants for potential antibacterial activity. Turkish Journal of Biology. 2005; 29:203-210.
- 24. Houghton PJ. The role of plants in traditional medicine and current therapy. Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine. 1995; 1:131-143.
- 25. Cragg MG, Newmann DJ. Natural Products Drug Discovery in Next Millennium. National Cancer Institute, Frederick, Maryland, USA, 2001.

- 26. Anonymous. British Pharmacopoeia. H. M. Stationary Office, London, 1980, (2), 109.
- 27. Adams RP. Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry, 4th Ed. Allured Publishing Corporation, Carol Stream, Illinois, 2007.
- Hackett AJ, Smith HS, Springer EL, Owens RB, Nelson-Rees WA, Riggs JL *et al.* Two syngeneic cell lines from human breast tissue: the aneuploid mammary epithelial (Hs 578T) and the diploid myoepithelial (Hs 578Bst) cell lines. Journal of National Cancer Institute. 1977; 58:1795-1806.
- 29. Kaighn ME, Narayan KS, Ohnuki Y, Lechner JF, Jones LW. Establishment and characterization of a human prostatic carcinoma cell line (PC-3). Invest Urology 1979; 17:16-23.
- 30. Setzer WN, Setzer MC, Hopper AL, Moriarity DM, Lehrman GK, Niekamp KL *et al.* The cytotoxic activity of a *Salacia liana* species from Monteverde, Costa Rica, is due to a high concentration of tingenone. Planta Medica 1998; 64:583.
- Promega Technical Bulletin #245. Cell Titer 96(R) AQueous One Cell Proliferation Assay. Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 1996.
- 32. Sahm DH, Washington JA. Antimicrobial susceptibility tests dilution methods. In: Balows A, Hausher WJ, Herrmman KL, Isenberg HD, Shamody HJ, eds. Manual of Clinical Microbiology. American Society for Microbiology. Washington DC, USA, 1991.
- 33. Wright BS, Bansal A, Moriarity DM, Takaku S, Setzer WN. Cytotoxic leaf essential oils from Neotropical Lauraceae: Synergistic effects of essential oil components. Natural Product Communications 2007; 2:1241-1244.
- Schmidt JM, Noletto JA, Vogler B, Setzer WN. Abaco bush medicine: Chemical composition of the essential oils of four aromatic medicinal plants from Abaco Island, Bahamas. Journal of Herbs, Spices & Medicinal Plants 2006; 12(3):43-65.
- 35. Palazzo MC, Wright HL, Agius BR, Wright BS, Moriarity DM, Haber WA, Setzer WN. Chemical compositions and biological activities of leaf essential oils of six species of Annonaceae from Monteverde, Costa Rica. Records of Natural Products 2009; 3:153-160.
- Turkez H, Togar B, Tatar A, Geyıkoglu F, Hacımuftuoglu A. Cytotoxic and cytogenetic effects of *α*-copaene on rat neuron and N2a neuroblastoma cell lines. Biologia 2014; 69(7):936-942.
- 37. Amiel E, Ofir R, Dudai N, Soloway E, Rabinsky T, Rachmilevitch S. β-Caryophyllene, a compound isolated from the Biblical balm of Gilead (*Commiphora* gileadensis), is a selective apoptosis inducer for tumor cell lines. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2012; Article ID 872394, 1-8. doi:10.1155/2012/872394
- Salvador MJ, de Carvalho JE, Candida AW, Kassuya AL, Santos EP, Riva D *et al.* Chemical composition and cytotoxic activity of the essential oil from the leaves of Casearia lasiophylla. Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia 2011; 21(5):864-868.
- Olutiola PO, Famurewa O, Sounteng HG. Introduction to General Microbiology (Practical Approach). Hygiene-Institut der Universität Heidelberg, 1991, 44-70.
- Carson CF, Riley TV. Antimicrobial activity of the major components of the essential oil of Malaleuca alternifolia. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 2008, 78(3):264-269.

- İşcan G, Kirimer N, Demirci F, Demirci B, Noma Y, Başer KH. Biotransformation of (-)-(R)-α-phellandrene: antimicrobial activity of its major metabolite. Chemistry & Biodiversity 2012; 9(8):1525-32.
- 42. Griffin SG, Wyllie SG, Markham JL, Leach DN. The role of structure and molecular properties of terpenoids in determining their antimicrobial properties. Flavour and Fragrance Journal. 1999; 14:322-324.
- Dorman HJ, Deans SG. Antimicrobial agents from plants: antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 2000; 88:308-316.